

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,793		03/03/2004	Helena Corneliusson	018798-214	4388
21839	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
2001111		ERSOLL PC		REICHLE	, KARIN M
(INCLUDIN POST OFFI		NS, DOANE, SWECK 1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			3761	
				DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,793	CORNELIUSSON, HELENA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Karin M. Reichle	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. The translation of the provisional application filed in Swedish has been placed in the application.

### Specification

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because Figure 4 and the description thereof in paragraph 12 appear to be inconsistent, i.e. the Figures are missing layers. In Figure 1, the line from 4 should be dashed to denote underlying structure. This also applies to the lines from 10, 11, 10b, 11b, 14-15, 14b-15b, 17 and 20. These last objections also apply to similar denotations in Figures 2 and 3. Additionally it also applies to the line from 21 in Figure 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be

Art Unit: 3761

labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the Z-shape as claimed in claims 1-13 and the elastic member having attachment points as claimed in claim 6, see also discussion infra, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,793 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

### Description

4. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: paragraph 8 and the abstract.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Throughout the textual description, Figures and claims Applicant recites the side barriers as folded in a Z shape. However as best seen in Figure 4, the barriers are shown folded in a C shape or V shape opened outwardly with a single fold facing inwards not a Z shape with two folds one of which faces outwardly and one of which faces inwardly. Therefore, a clear consistent textual and pictorial description should be set forth. Similarly, claim 6 recites the attachment points extend outside and overlap rear attachment points of the elastic whereas the textual description and Figures disclose an elastic member which extends outside and overlaps the rear attachment points but has attachment points outside the rear attachment points, see paragraphs 23-24, i.e. not consistent with the claims. A clear consistent description of the rear barrier attachment points should be set forth.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

6. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 2, after "elements", --of-- should be inserted. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Language Interpretation

7. Due to the lack of clarity set forth supra, a fold which is Z-shaped as claimed or a sideways V shape as shown will be deemed to meet the claims. Similarly attachment points of the rear barrier which at least extend outside the elastic elements of the side barriers will be deemed to meet the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-3 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al JP '607.

See Figures 2-5 and the English abstract of '607, i.e. '607 teaches an absorbent article defining a longitudinal direction, a front portion adjacent 4b in the longitudinal direction, a rear portion adjacent 6, and a middle portion along III-III arranged between said portions and having an upper, liquid-permeable cover sheet 1, a lower, liquid-impermeable cover sheet 2, an absorption body 3 arranged between the cover sheets, and first and second side barriers 4 along respective longitudinal sides, each side barrier in turn comprising at least one longitudinal elastic element 5, the first and second side barriers, viewed from above, defining a shape which narrows in the direction towards said front portion so that the distance, in the transverse direction of the article, between the elastic elements, is greater in said rear portion than in said front portion, see

Art Unit: 3761

Figure 2, and each side barrier is arranged such that, when it is secured in contact with the front portion, see Figure 5, it defines a folded structure of substantially the same shaped cross section as shown in Figure 4 of the instant application, see Figure 5, or a Z-shape, see Figure 6, with a fold adjacent 10 directed towards the inside of said article. The side barriers are secured to the cover sheet so that respective first and second longitudinal folds are defined, see Figures 3-5, adjacent 9 or 10 and 11. The elastic element in the side barriers, viewed from above, are secured to the rear portion outside their respective folds, see Figure 4, between 10 and 11. The elastic elements run at least partially in contact with their respective folds, see element 5 in Figure 5, with respect to the fold adjacent 10 and see element 5 in Figure 4 adjacent the fold adjacent 11. Finally the first and second side barriers by themselves constitute a combined side leakage protection and leg elastic for the article.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 4-7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki '607 in view of Robertson '364 and Olsson '732.

Claims 4-6 further require a rear barrier formed in said rear portion, which rear barrier is intended for taking up bodily excretions in the direction rearwards along the article, and includes a further elastic element having attachment points which, viewed from above, at least extend

Application/Control Number: 10/790,793

Art Unit: 3761

outside, as best understood, rear attachment points of the elastic elements in the side barriers such that a barrier is defined along both the longitudinal sides and rear side of the article. While Suzuki '607 does not teach such a rear barrier, it does teach side barriers, i.e. suggests the desire for barriers/leakage prevention. However, see Robertson '364 at the Figures and col. 3, lines 9-33, the paragraph bridging cols. 4-5, col. 12, line 23-col. 13, line 50, e.g. elastic film of laminate is an elastic element, and col. 17, line 45-col. 18, line 8 and Olsson et al '732 at the Figures, especially 1 and 9, col. 11, lines 63-66 and the abstract which teach a rear barrier formed in said rear portion for taking up bodily excretions in the direction rearwards and includes an elastic element having attachment points which, viewed from above, at least extend outside, i.e. '364 also teaches overlapping, rear attachment points of elastic elements in the side barriers such that a barrier is defined along both the longitudinal sides and rear side of the article. Therefore to employ a rear barrier such as, for example, taught by '364 and '732, i.e. the claimed structure as best understood, on the '607 device would be obvious to one of ordinary in the art in view of the recognition that such a feature in combination with side barriers improves the ability of the article to prevent leakage and the desire suggested by '607.

Page 7

Claims 7 and 13 require each side barrier including two elastic elements one extending outside the other as viewed in relation to a longitudinal axis of symmetry through the article and the elastic elements consisting of elastic threads. While '607 does not show such it does show a single elastic strip. Furthermore, for example, '364 at col. 11, lines 41-62 and '732 at col. 7, lines 42-45 teach the interchangeability of parallel elastic threads for a strip or film. Therefore to make the elastic strip of each side barrier of '607 two elastic threads instead would

Application/Control Number: 10/790,793

Art Unit: 3761

be obvious in view of the interchangeability as taught by '364 and '732. In so doing the prior art teaches the structure of claims 7 and 13.

Page 8

12. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki '607 in view of Sageser et al '428.

Claims 8-10 require the distance between the elastic elements of the side barriers is at least two times greater at the said rear portion than at the front portion or the distance between the elastic elements of the side barriers is at least three times greater at the rear portion than at the front portion or the distance between parts of the elastic elements the side barriers nearest to the inside of the article or is within the range of 1 - 3 cm at said front portion, respectively. Note paragraphs 33-34 of the instant application. While the claimed distance as seen in Figures 3 and 5 is approximately two times greater and there is a space at the front portion the '607 reference does not explicitly teach the claimed distances. Also note again Suzuki '607 does teach side barriers, i.e. suggests the desire for barriers/leakage prevention. However, see '428 at col. 7, lines 18-42 and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 50, i.e. spacing apart of the edges, i.e. elastics, of inwardly folded front portions of side barriers a distance of -2.5 cm to 15 cm combined with outwardly folded or flipped out rear portions of side barriers provides a better fit to the contours of the wearers body which reduces leakage (Note that if the front edges as shown in Figure 1 were spaced about next to each other, i.e. 0 cm, the spacing in the rear would clearly be at least 3 times that in the front, as shown the spacing in the rear is about 2-3 times the spacing in the front.). Therefore, to employ the spacing as taught by Sageser '428, i.e. as claimed, on the '607 device, if not already, would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the

Art Unit: 3761

recognition that such would provide improved fit with the body resulting leakage prevention and the desire suggested by '607.

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied art also teaches various elasticized side and/or rear barriers.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karin M. Reichle whose telephone number is (571) 272-4936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

KMR January 26, 2006